

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

AMADEO SANCHEZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,

Defendants.

3:11-cv-00273-LRH-WGC

ORDER

Before this Court is the Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb (#71<sup>1</sup>) entered on July 17, 2012, recommending granting Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (#24) filed on November 16, 2011, and denying Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment (#69) filed on July 11, 2012. Plaintiff filed his Objections to Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#72) on August 10, 2012. Defendants have not filed a response. This matter was referred to the Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Rule 1B 1-4 of the Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.

The Court has conducted its *de novo* review in this case, has fully considered the objections of the Plaintiff, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuant

---

<sup>1</sup>Refers to court's docket number.

1 to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) (B) and Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court determines that the Magistrate  
2 Judge's Report and Recommendation (#71) entered on July 17, 2012, should be adopted and accepted.

3 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#71)  
4 entered on July 17, 2012, is adopted and accepted, and Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment  
5 (#24) is GRANTED and Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for  
6 Summary Judgment (#69) is DENIED.

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 DATED this 7th day of September, 2012.



10  
11 LARRY R. HICKS  
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26